| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/770,710 | WENZEL, WILLIAM RAY |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Shane Bomar | 3672 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: Aba | andoned |
| (1) <u>Shane Bomar</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Kevan Morgan</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 15 March 2006 | Time: <u>10:30am</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: None | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | B DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substand interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Attorney Morgan because it has been more than six months since the last Office Action was mailed on September 14, 2005. Mr. Morgan stated that a CIP application has been filed (before the expiration date of the current statutory period) in response to the Office Action, and this current application will be abandoned.